



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

March 30, 2010

Patrick D. Traylor
Hogan and Hartson, LLP
Columbia Square
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Re: Request for Applicability Determination under 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart AAAA
New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") for New Small Municipal Waste
Combustion Units

Dear Mr. Traylor:

We have received your January 8, 2010 request on behalf of Fulcrum BioEnergy, Inc. ("Fulcrum") for an applicability determination under 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart AAAA – New Source Performance Standards for New Small Municipal Waste Combustion Units ("Subpart AAAA"). We understand that your request is regarding Fulcrum's proposed facility in McCarran, Nevada, which intends to convert post-sorted municipal solid waste feedstock into a synthetic gas that will be processed to produce ethanol and renewable power. Based on the information that you have provided, we have determined that Subpart AAAA would not apply to Fulcrum's syngas generation units or the air pollution control flare. Additionally, if Fulcrum's facility meets the requirements for a small power production facility or a cogeneration facility, then Subpart AAAA would not apply to the combined cycle combustion turbine. Our determinations are explained in further detail below.

Please note that you have requested EPA to make a determination on whether a particular federal regulation applies to a facility that is not yet constructed. As such, our decision in this matter is based solely on the information you provided, both electronically and verbally. If any of the referenced information changes or is no longer accurate, our determination of non-applicability may no longer apply and a new review would be required. Based on the information you have provided to date, our determinations are as follows:

Subpart AAAAA does not apply to the syngas gasification process.

We concur with your explanation that Fulcrum's syngas gasification process is neither combustion nor pyrolysis. As a result, the syngas generation unit would not be considered a "pyrolysis/combustion unit" or "municipal waste combustion unit" as defined in Subpart AAAAA.

Subpart AAAAA would not apply to the combined cycle combustion turbine if the facility meets the requirements for the small power production facility exemption or the cogeneration facility exemption.

40 CFR 60.1020(b) and (c) list the requirements that a facility must meet to qualify for an exemption from Subpart AAAAA as a small power production facility or cogeneration facility. Those requirements include meeting criteria established by the Federal Power Act, combusting homogeneous waste, and providing notification and documentation to EPA. We concur with your assessment that the gasified waste would be considered homogeneous. The facility would also need to provide appropriate notification and documentation that it meets the criteria established by the Federal Power Act to qualify for either of these exemptions.

Subpart AAAAA would not apply to the air pollution control flare.

We concur with your assessment that the flare would be considered air pollution control equipment and therefore would be excluded from the definition of "municipal waste combustion unit" as defined in Subpart AAAAA. This exclusion would apply as long as the flare is operated solely as an air pollution control device.

If you have further questions regarding this determination, please contact Tünde Wang of my staff at (415) 972-3990.

Sincerely,



Douglas K. McDaniel
Chief, Enforcement Office
Air Division

cc: Randy Phillips, NDEP